

#### Amoco Oil Company

200 East Randolph Drive Post Office Box 6110-A Chicago, Illinois 60680

February 25, 1985

Certified Mail P26 2048521 Return Receipt Requested

Mr. Kenneth G. Mensing
Southern Region Manager
Land Field Operations Section
Division of Land Pollution Control
Environmental Protection Agency
117 West Main Street
Collinsville, Illinois 62234



Dear Mr. Mensing:

### Information on Closed Disposal Site; Amoco Riverfront, Wood River

As agreed in our November 27, 1984, meeting, we are enclosing the data and information on the closed disposal site on Amoco's riverfront property in Wood River.

The enclosure consists of the following:

- 1. Past monitoring data from wells around the site.
- 2. Design and construction details comprising:
  - a. a "Technical and Cost Proposal";
  - b. a "Data and Design Report";
  - c. "Test Results"; and
  - d. as-built drawings.
- 3. A groundwater monitoring program satisfying the requirements of Subpart F.

As was discussed with you by phone January 28, the priority pollutant analysis of the January, 1984 samples (Table IV) indicated possible laboratory contamination. Therefore, we delayed sending you this package in order to enclose analytical results from the December, 1984 samples (Table VI) for comparison.

CHMEDER

MAR 22 1985

Selections.

RECEIVED

MAR 0 1 1965

ILL E.P.A. - D.L.P.C.

KM
FAMIS
MCS
MDS

Mr. Kenneth G. Mensing Page 2

If you have any questions on this submission, please call me at 312/856-7826 or G. J. Wurtz at 312/856-5858.

Yours truly,

John G. Huddle

Director, Environmental Control and Planning

John is staddle

Mail Code 1203

EJS/dmk


Enclosures

### Groundwater Monitoring Plan for Closed Disposal Area on Amoco's Riverfront Property, Wood River

1. The following wells are to be included in this program:

In the upper groundwater table:

RL-18 RL-2S P-5S RL-14S P-6S P-12S P-9S ( Br = blodlam)



In the uppermost aquifer:

RL-1 RL-2 P-5

P-12

Locations of these wells are shown on the attached map.

After the direction of flow in the upper groundwater table is determined under a program previously approved by the Agency, it is proposed that representatives of the Agency and of Amoco meet to determine the locations of additional upgradient and/or downgradient wells that may be required in both the uppermost aquifer and the uppermost groundwater table.

2. Following is the schedule of testing:

First year, quarterly, all wells:

	Max.		Max.		
	MG/L		MG/L		Max.
Arsenic	0.05	Selenium	0.01	Radium	5 pCi/1
Barium	1.0	Silver	0.05	Gross Alpha	15 pCi/l
Cadmium	0.01	Endrin	0.002	Gross Beta	4 millirem/yr.(1)
Chromium	0.05	Lindane	0.004	Coliform	1/100 ml.
				Bacteria	•
Fluoride	1.4-2.4	Methoxychlor	0.1		
Lead	0.05	Toxaphene	0.005		
Mercury	0.002	2, 4-D	0.1		
Nitrate (As N)	10	2. 4. 5-TP	0.001		

(1) Maximum dosage per individual based on a consumption of two liters a day.

First year, quarterly, all wells; subsequent years, annually, all wells:

Silvex

Chloride
Iron
Manganese
Phenols
Sodium
Sulfate

First year, quarterly, all wells; quadruplicate tests on upgradient wells. Subsequent years, semi-annually, all wells; quadruplicate tests on all wells:

pH Specific Conductance Total Organic Carbon Total Organic Halogen

- 3. Prior to sampling, the groundwater elevation in each well will be determined and recorded.
- 4. Prior to sampling, each well will be bailed or pumped dry. Samples will be taken after the well has recharged. The bailer or pump will be thoroughly rinsed with distilled or deionized water between wells.
- one pint will be collected from each well for metals testing and preserved by reducing pH to 2.0 or less by adding nitric acid. One pint will be collected from each well for phenol and total organic carbon and preserved by reducing pH to 2.0 or less by adding sulfuric acid. The preceding two samples will be stored in an ice bath until analyzed. The following samples are to be preserved by placing in an ice bath until analyzed; no preservatives are to be added: 1 quart for pesticides, 1 quart for radiation, and 1 pint for anions and total organic halogen.
- 6. A chain-of-custody record must be completed for each sample or group of samples having the same destination. These forms are to be returned from the final destination to the environmental engineer at Wood River. The following information must be recorded for each sample:

Date
Time
Well No.
Sampler's Name
Preservative
Test(s) Required
Special Instructions

- 7. pH and specific conductance will be determined in the field as samples are being collected.
- 8. The following test methods will be used:

Test

Conductivity
рH
-
Anions
Arsenic and Selenium
Tood
Lead
Mercury
All Other Metals
_ <del>-</del>
Total Organic Carbon
Phenol
Dockinidan
Pesticides

#### Method

Portable Conductivity Meter
Portable pH Meter
Dionex Ion Chromatography
Hydride Atomic Absorption
Furnace Atomic Absorption
Cold Vapor Atomic Absorption
Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectrometry
Technicon IV—Persulfate Oxidation
4-Aminoantipyrine Method, Without Distillation
Gas Chromatography With Electron Capture

- 9. All replicate measurements for each indicator parameter (pH, specific conductance, total organic carbon, total organic halogen) from all upgradient wells obtained during the first year shall be combined to provide a background concentration for each of the indicators.
- 10. In the second and subsequent years, tests for the indicator parameters will be run in quadruplicate on samples from each well.
- 11. In the second and subsequent years, the arithmetic means of the indicator parameters, as determined semi-annually, will be statistically compared with the background means using Cochran's approximation to the Behrens-Fisher Student's T-Test at the 0.01 level of significance. A statistically significant increase (or pH decrease) in an upgradient well is to be reported in the annual submission of data. If a statistically significant increase (or pH decrease) is found in an indicator parameter in a downgradient well, the well will be resampled, the sample split, and the test(s) repeated on each half. If the repeat tests are confirmatory, the Agency will be notified within seven days.
- 12. In the first year, test results and groundwater elevations will be reported to the Agency within 15 days after completing the quarterly analyses. In subsequent years, this information, including statistical comparisons, will be reported annually, unless otherwise required by discovery of a statistically significant increase (or pH decrease) in indicator parameters in downgradient wells.
- 13. All records will be maintained 30 years unless the Agency approves termination of the program at an earlier date.




TABLE I

## Analysis of Wood River Riverfront Groundwater January 27, 1984

MAR 23 1985

:57%-54**FQ** 

Well		Cond	luctivit	у			Н	
P-2	840	800	810	790	7.30	7.23	7.25	7.40
P-6	1210	1260	1250	1200	7.40	7.45	7.39	7.35
P-16	3600	3900	3900	3900	7.14	7.16	7.15	7.14
P-6S	4200	4000	3900	3800	9.74	9.80	9.79	9.49
P-9S	1500	1650	1600	1600	7.18	7.17	7.18	7.17
P-12S	11400	11400	11500	11500	10.46	10.83	10.75	10.85

RECEIVED

MAR 2.2 1985

טפוני נעבן

TABLE II

Analysis of Wood River Groundwater - "P" Wells - January, 1984

		Anto	Anions, mg/1		Phenols		:	Alkalinity,
Sample	Fluoride	Fluoride Chloride	Nitrate	Sulfate	mg/1	TOC, mg/1	TOX, mg/l	mg/l as CaCO
P-2	0.3	41	S S	61	QN	11,10,11,10	ND, 0.03, 0.05, 0.09	280
P-6	0.2	11	ğ	190	0.01	11,12,12,11	ND, 0.06, 0.08, ND	907
P-6S	0.9	103	QX	1538	30	122,126,123,124	0.08, 0.06, 0.28, 0.04	; 725
P-9S	2	189	8	187	8	67,66,67,67	0.14, 0.32, 0.43, 0.33	3 854
P-12S	o	3054	σ	193	101	1610,1650,1600,1595	1610,1650,1600,1595 0.56, 0.78, 0.80, 0.98	8 2093
P-16	0.3	2257	£	<b>Q</b>	7.0	71,68,70,68	0.04, 0.03, 0.09, 0.09	9 992
Detection Limit	0.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.01	1.0	0.02	•

ND - Not Detected

MECEIVE OF ILLINO, S.C.

RECTIVED

MAR 22 1785

TABLE III

Metals in Wood River "P" Wells - January, 1984 - mg/l

푀	29	39	6.9	81	5.6	214	:
3	16	977	91	229	65	920	:
×i	4.5	6.2	4.3	. 0	2.2	5.2	:
3I	ð	ð	ę	Ą	0.00	•	0.005 0.015
쾽	ę	Ą	0.26	0.00	0.30	0.029	0.8 0.09 0.02 0.003
<b>8</b> 4	Ą	9	ę	2	Ą	2	0
ଞା	8	8	ð	9	ð	2	0
ដ	ę	ð	Ş	2	ę	9	
괴	0.31	0.52	o.13	0.35	1.78	1.36	0.01
괴	ę	<b>.</b>	皇	ð	2	ð	0.003
킾	32	105	1340	158	2500	1070	:
푀	9	£	0.23	<b>Q</b>	1.06	0.01	0.03
리	ð	9	ę	· <b>Q</b>	0.0	ę	90.0
>1	ð	Ą	0.31	9	0.61	9	0.03
21	0.17	4.0	1.4	1.5	84	6.1	0.01
히	2	9	2	\$	0.03	0.01	0.009 0.02
31	9	9	Ş	9	ð	Ð	
£	96.0	3.0	0.26	ជ	0.42	я	0.0002 0.02 0.02
ន	n.0	3.0	*	0.08	0.52	17.0	0.03
쀪	0.0006 0.11	0.0007 0.04	0.0007 0.34	0.0005 0.08	0.0005	0.0002 0.41	0.0002
Æl	0.005	0.002	0.007	0.005	0.014	0.007	0.002
Sample	7	I	P-65	<b>7-</b> 98	F-125	P-16	Detection

MD - Not Detected




TABLE IV
Priority Pollutant Organics Detected Above 10 ug/l, January, 1984 Samples

Sample	Compound	Concentration, ug/1
P-2	None	
P-6	None	
P-6S	Methyl Ethyl Ketone	65
P-9S	None	
P-12S	Chloroform 1,2-Dichloroethane Methylene Chloride Methyl Ethyl Ketone	27 14 1394 162
P-16	Benzene Ethylbenzene Toluene m-Xylene O+P xylene Methyl Ethyl Ketone	15 25 21 29 22 23
Field Blank	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	38

TABLE V

### Analysis of Wood River Riverfront Groundwater December 6, 1984

MAR CO (ass)

157 - 1.FQ

<u>Well</u>		Cond	uctivity	<u></u>			oH		
P-2	710	710	705	700	6.70	6.60	6.62	6.62	
P-5	820	840	805	850	6.70	6.76	6.87	6.80	
P-6	910	920	890	930	6.84	6.85	6.87	6.93	
P-16	1610	1520	1460	1430	6.53	6.52	6.54	6.54	
P-5S*	1400	1420			6.93	6.95			
P-6S	1450	1470	1460	1450	7.86	7.84	7.87	7.85	
P-9S	1245	1250	1270	1250	6.79	6.68	6.71	6.64	
P-12S	7800	8000	8000	8800	10.15	10.29	10.32	10.33	

Well	Depth to Water	Top of Pipe (MSL)	Water Elevation (MSL)
P-2	38'-1"	441.00	402.92
P-5	28'-8"	436.30	407.63
P-6	22'-012"	429.02	406.98
P-16	36 ' -5"	437.72	401.30
P-5S	22'-10"	437.31	414.48
P-6S	13'-0"	429.64	416.64
P-9S	2'-6"	420.33	417.83
P-12S	2'-3"	420.76	418.51

<sup>\*</sup> Insufficient water quantity for analyses. Only sample sent was VOA.

MAR 2.1 1985

20

### TABLE VI

# Volatile Priority Pollutant Organics Detected Above 10 ug/l, December, 1984 Samples

Sample	Compound	Concentration, ug/l
P-2	Methylene Chloride	20
P-5	1,1-Dichloroethane 1,1,1-Trichloroethane	11 31
P-6	None	
P-6S	None	•
P-9S	None	
P-12S	Methylene Chloride Toluene Methyl Ethyl Ketone Chloroethane	84 21 433 62
P-16	None	

TABLE I

1984 SUMMARY OF INDICATOR PARAMETERS

		Speci	Specific Conductance	onducta	ınce	Total	Organic		Carbon	Total	Organ	Organic Halogen	ogen				
Xe.	Well No.		Micromhos/cm	108/cm	1		mg/1	_			mq/1	7			ЬH		
RL-1:	August	824,	825,	819,	801	16,	16,	16, 10	9	0.4.	0.3,	0.7.	6.0	7.1.	7.2.	7.2.	7.2
	December	612,	677,	678,	680	13,			7	ND,	NO.	NO.	QN	7.0,	. 6		8.9
RL-2:	August	1082,	1076,	1073,	1074	18,	19,	19, 1	6	1.7,	3.1,	1.6,	3.3	7.0,	6.9	7.0,	7.1
	December	949,	957,		964	6	6		12	0.18,	0.15,	0.10,	0.12	6.9			6.8
RL-3:	August	1455,	1476,	1473,		34,	35,	35, 3	35	0.9,	0.9,	3.5,	3.2	6.4	6.6.	9.9.9	6.7
	December	1378,	1396,	1419,	1430	20,			22	0.09,	0.08,	0.04,	90.0				9.9
RL-4:	August	*	:	:	*	24,	25,	23, 2	23	ND,	Š	NO.	Ş	*	*	*	*
	December	1475,	1500,	*	*	26,			22		0.14,	0.14,	0.12	6.3,	6.4,	*	*
RL-5:	August	1371,	1398,	1410,	1420	21,	23,	19, 21		0.3,	0.2	Š	QX	6.5	6.6.		6.7
	December	1275,	1275,	*	*	25,			28		0.14,	0.10,	0.12	6.5,	, v		*
RL-6:	August	905,		862,	844	14,	13,	13, 1	13	1.2,	1.2,	0.9	6.0	6.4,	9.9	6.6.	9.9
	December	983,		680	1000	13,			13	0.17,	0.14,	0.13,	0.16	6.6,	.7.		6.8
RL-7:	August	868,	879,		106	7,	7,		7	2.8,	2.4,	0.7,	9.0	6.8	6.9	. 6.9	7.0
	December	1017,		-		7,	7,	7,	7	0.07,	0.06,	0.16,	0.15	7.3,	5		•
RL-8:	August	1390,	1394,	1373,		7,	7,		7	0.5,	0.4,	1.2,	1.2	6.7,	6.7.	6.8.	8,9
	December	1230,	1244,	1241,	1234	7,	7,	7,	7	0.03,	0.02,	0.04,	0.03	7.1,			7.0
RL-9:	August	945,				8,	6	8	<b>&amp;</b>	ND,	0.4	Š	4.0	6.8		6.9.	6
	December	1168,	1175,	1170,	1162	6	11,	11, 12	7	0.22,	0.22,	0.28,	0.27	7.0,	0		7.0
RL-10:	August	781,				13,	13,	13, 1	13	9.6	0.2,	0.5,	0.1	_		7.0.	6.
	December	1002,	962,	950,	945	<b>,</b> .	8	80	89		0.19,	0.22,	0.18				7.2
Detecti	Detection Limit		1				1.0	0		00	0.1 (A	(August) (December)	Į.		ł		

ND - Not Detected

<sup>\*\*</sup> Analysis not completed due to hydrocarbon interference

TABLE II

1984 PARAMETERS ESTABLISHING GROUNDWATER QUALITY (mg/l)

We	Well No.	Chloride	Iron	Manganese	Phenols	Sodium	Sulfate
RL-1:	August	32	0.94	2.1	0.20	21	
	December	07	T•6	7.7	QN	81	29
RL-2:	August	41	11	5.8	0.22	20	16
	December	15	8.7	4.0	QN	19	6
RL-3:	August	51	0.23	7.4	0.03	32	ø
	December	69	16	4.0	QN	32	50
RL-4:	August	162	14	5.9	0.02	38	4
	December	247	21	5.3	QN	44	Ŋ
RL-5:	August	84	3.3	5.3	0.26	44	e
	December	7.	, 66.0	4.1	QN	45	24
RL-6:	August	41	0.27	2.1	0.17	31	693
	December	47	1.4	3.9	QN	28	108
RL-7:	August	56	QN	0.15	0.10	57	125
	December	80	0.03	0.50	æ	64	159
RL-8:	August	47	0.67	1.3	0.35	41	296
•	December	55	0.04	1.4	QN	48	275
RL-9:	August	62	N	1.02	0.13	99	94
	December	62	0.05	1.5	QN	36	225
RL-10:	August	35	0.030	1.2	, 0.19	58	86
	December	33	0.07	0.98	ND	62	205
Detect	Detection Limit	1.0	0.01	0.02	0.01	1	1.0

ND - Not Detected

TABLE III

1984 PRIMARY DRINKING WATER STANDARDS (mg/l)

We	Well No.	Arsenic	Barium	Cadmium	Chromium	Fluoride	Tead		Nitrate	10.100	
								777777	ds W	WINT THE TACK	211761
RL-1:	August	900.0	0.43	0.012	0.04	¥	Ş	0.0002	ND	Ş	QN
	December	0.015	0.12	QN	•90.0	QN	Q.	QN	QX QX	0.004	Š
RL-2:	August	0.020	0.54	ND	0.03*	*6	QX	N	QN	0,004	<b>Q</b>
	December	0.026	0.22	QX	0.07	8	0.032	Q	QN	0.005	QN
RL-3:	August	0.008	0.49	QN .	0.05	2*	ND	QN	9	QN	N
	December	0.035	0.84	Q.	*60.0	QN	QN	Q	QN	900.0	QN Q
RL-4:	August	QN	0.92	QN	0.06*	*	Q	QN	-4	0.011	QX
	December	0.026	1.0	QX	0.11*	Q	Q	NO	8	QN	QN
RL-5:	August	0.012	99.0	ğ	.00	7	0.011	ND	N	QX	£
	December	0.009	0.58	Q	•60.0	QN	ğ	ND	1	ND	QN
RL-6:	August	0.003	0.14	QX	0.02	æ	0.010	N	QX	QN	2
	December	Q	0.16	æ	0.07*	QN	NO	N Q	QN	QN	NO
RL-7:	August	QN .	0.059	QN	0.02	ţ,	QN QN	Q	Ñ	Q	2
	December	QN	0.14	QN	<b>0.09</b>	ND	0.007	QN	QN	ND	QN
RL-8:	August	QX	0.13	ON	0.03	S	N	QN	Q	0.002	N
	December	900.0	90.0	QX QX	•60.0	QN	Q	NO	QN	ND	QN
RL-9:	August	0.004	0.11	QN	0.02	ΩN	QN	ND	QN	0.002	£
-	December	QN	0.14	QN	•60.0	QN	S S	ND	QN	ND	QN
RL-10:	August	0.005	0.12	QN	0.02	QN	N	QN	QN	Q	QX
	December	0.013	0.11	Q	<b>*60°0</b>	QN	N	QN	QN	S S	ND
Drinkir Stand	Drinking Water Standards	0.05	1.0	0.01	0.05	1.4-2.4	0.05	0.002	10	0.01	0.05
Detect	Detection Limit:	0.002	0.01	600.0	0.02	1.0	0.01	0.0002	1.0	0.002	0.02
	Exceeds Primary Drinking Water Standard	ry Drinking	Water Stan	dard							

Exceeds Primary Drinking Water Standard

ND - Not Detected

RAS/de

#### TABLE III (Continued)

### 1984 PRIMARY DRINKING WATER STANDARDS (Continued)

		Radium	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	Coliforn
Well No.		(pCi/1)	(pCi/1)	(pCi/1)	(MPN/100 r
RL-1:	August	2	<2	20	26*
	December	<1	<2	24	<1
RL-2:	August	1	3	15	<1
	December	<1	<2	<3	<1
RL-3:	August	<1	<2	15	1
	December	<1	<2	<3	<1
RL-4:	August	34*	79*	415*	120*
	December	16*	278*	286*	9.1*
RL-5:	August	37*	60*	22	480*
	December	30*	131*	75*	<1
RL-6:	August	<1	9	22	120*
	December	<1	<2	<3	<1
RL-7:	August	<1	10	11	<1
	December	4	37*	38	<1
RL-8:	August	2	9	12	<1
	December	9*	26*	<3	<1
RL-9:	August	<1	**	**	<1
	December	16*	26*	<3	<1
RL-10:	August	<1	. 12	31	27*
	December	22*	42*	27	39*
Drinking Water Standard		5	15	50	1.0

No pesticides or herbicides were found to be greater than the following drinking water standards. The concentrations listed also represent the detection limits.

Endrin	0.0002	mg/1
Lindane	0.004	mg/1
Methoxyclor	0.1	mg/1
Toxaphene	0.005	mg/l
2,4-D	0.1	mg/1
2,4,5-TP Silvex	0.01	mg/1

<sup>\* =</sup> Exceeds Primary Drinking Water Standard

<sup>\*\* =</sup> Insufficient sample quantity

TABLE IV

1984 GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL ELEVATIONS
(Feet Above Mean Sea Level)

	Well No.	August	December
RL-1	(Upgradient)	399.18	401.28
RL-2	(Upgradient)	401.40	403.74
RL-3	(Downgradient)	399.10	400.76
RL-4	(Downgradient)	390.79**	402.46**
RL-5	(Downgradient)	397.53	400.99
RL-6	(Downgradient)	398.69	400.38
RL-7	(Downgradient)	398.43	,400.11
RL-8	(Downgradient)	398.58	399.36
RL-9	(Downgradient)	399.49	400.76
RL-10	(Downgradient)	400.64	402.09

<sup>\*\*</sup> Levels distorted due to hydrocarbon layer.

The surface elevation in each of the wells was measured and recorded at each sampling. In August, the level in well RL-1 was depressed due to pumping from a deep production well. This pumping curtailed in late October. As it continues to rebound, it is expected to be hydraulically upgradient of RL-3 through RL-10 as designated. RL-2 is definitely upgradient of the site and the other wells.